



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspko.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/893,790 06/29/2001		Christopher Frank Codella	YOR920010317US1	4589		
48150	7590 08/1 <i>5/</i> 2005			EXAMINER		
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC				CHANG, JUNGWON		
8321 OLD C	OURTHOUS	E ROAD				
SUITE 200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
VIENNA, VA 22182-3817				2154		

DATE MAILED: 08/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/893,790	CODELLA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jungwon Chang	2154		

Defers the Filing of an Annual Drief							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jungwon Chang	2154					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 29 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. 							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on		a) and the appropriate ext	ension fee have				
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any entry a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			because				
 (a) ☐ They raise flew issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 							
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))							
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 	3):	•					
the non-allowable claim(s).		•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:	,		•				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26,28-37,39</u> .			-				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•				
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are 							
 and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to 							
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	ry and was not earlier presented.	See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	(1).				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allows	noo hooguso:				
See Continuation Sheet.			ance pecause.				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other:		NO(S)					
JOHN FOLLANSBEE ASUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER							
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

蒸

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Huberman clearly does not, however, disclose or suggest "predicting and caching" the web page or document. Examiner respectfully disagrees since Huberman discloses "predicting a second document for being accessed by said user" (prediction of relevant web pages, i.e., second or subsequent document, to a particular source page, i.e., a first document; col. 1, line 49 - col. 2, line 3; the predection of related pages may be done dynamically or in advance and can be used as an aid in browsing... a list of related pages is presented; col. 8, line 64 - col. 9, line 19; col. 10, lines 35-45); and Huberman discloses a memory (external storage; internal storage; 703; 705; fig. 7) that inherently comprises a cache memory which inherntly stores all the retrieved, received, or accessed documents (col. 10, lines 17-34).